

Credit Opinion: Valle d'Aosta, Autonomous Region of

Global Credit Research - 06 May 2016

Italy

Ratings

| Category | Moody's Rating |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Outlook | Stable |
| Issuer Rating | Baa1 |
| Senior Unsecured -Dom Curr | Baa1 |
| ST Issuer Rating | P-2 |

Contacts

Analyst Phone
Francesco Zambon/Moody's
Investors Service EMEA LTD
Massimo Visconti/Milan
Mauro Crisafulli/Milan

Phone
44.20.7772.5454
39.02.5821.5350

Key Indicators

Valle d'Aosta, Autonomous Region of

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | [1]2015 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Net direct and indirect debt as % of operating revenues | 26.5 | 24.4 | 27 | 25.8 | 28 |
| Cash financing surplus (requirement) as % of total revenues | -12 | 0.7 | -0.3 | 7.5 | 5.1 |
| Gross operating balance as % of operating revenues | 17 | 17.5 | 11.5 | 15 | 10.7 |
| Interest payments as % of operating revenues | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Intergovernmental transfers as % of operating revenues | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 6.9 |
| Capital spending as % of total expenditures | 28.3 | 19.4 | 15.9 | 11.7 | 8.7 |
| GDP per capita as a % of national average | 139.4 | 138.3 | 141.1 | 144.4 | - |

[1] Pre-closed figures

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The autonomous region of Valle d'Aosta's (RAVA) Baa1 issuer and debt ratings are underpinned by (1) its long-established autonomous status that provides a high degree of financial autonomy and budgetary flexibility; (2) its solid gross operating margins; (3) a strong regional economy. At the same time, the region faces the following credit negative factors: (1) rising indirect debt, although total debt levels remain moderate; (2) falling capital expenditure (capex), potentially limiting future economic growth.

National Peer Comparison

Valle d'Aosta is rated one notch above the Italian Government (Baa2/Stable). RAVA's position relative to its national peers reflects its greater legislative and financial autonomy, and its consistently robust budgetary performance.

Credit Strengths

Valle d'Aosta's credit strengths include:

- Long-established autonomous status
- Solid gross operating margins supporting a healthy budgetary surplus
- A strong local economy, despite modest size and diversification

Credit Challenges

Valle d'Aosta's credit challenges include:

- Slightly rising indirect debt, although total debt is still moderate
- Falling capex constrains economic growth

Rating Outlook

The rating outlook is stable.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

A strengthening of Italy's sovereign credit profile would likely lead to an upgrade of Valle d'Aosta's rating. Structural improvement of operating margins toward 30% of operating revenues, combined with falling debt levels would be credit positive.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

A downgrade of Italy's sovereign rating would lead to a downgrade of Valle d'Aosta's rating. Although highly unlikely given the constitutional framework, any alteration of the region's autonomous status could also prompt a negative rating change.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

Valle d'Aosta's Baa1 rating combines (1) a baseline credit assessment (BCA) for the region of baa1, and (2) a moderate likelihood of extraordinary support from the national government in the event that the region faced acute liquidity stress.

Baseline Credit Assessment

LONG-ESTABLISHED AUTONOMOUS STATUS

The region's autonomous status allows it to retain all fiscal revenues generated and collected in its territory. Although this creates a strong correlation with the local economy, it also gives the region much greater budgetary flexibility than other Italian regions. This additional flexibility is partly offset by annual spending limits agreed by Valle d'Aosta and the Ministry of Finance as part of Italy's national budgetary consolidation efforts.

Valle d'Aosta has been affected by the central government's national budgetary consolidation programme. The region's accumulated spending reductions rose to EUR235 million in 2015 from EUR86 million in 2012. The Internal Stability Pact of 2016 has imposed additional spending cuts of EUR69 million in 2016 and EUR16 million in 2017. Valle d'Aosta's fiscal consolidation efforts sharply reduced the region's capex during 2011-15. From 2016-17, Valle d'Aosta will aim to break even, but will not be subject to further annual expenditure limits. Moody's regards the region's budgetary consolidation programme as manageable. We expect it to preserve sound finances and its traditionally high standards of public services.

SOLID GROSS OPERATING MARGINS SUPPORTING A HEALTHY BUDGETARY SURPLUS

In 2011-15 Valle d'Aosta achieved an average operating margin of 14.3% of operating revenues, and we expect no significant volatility for the next two years. RAVA has maintained a higher gross operating balance than its peers, despite the national abolition of import duty and a reduction of excise duties on beer and energy. Revenues from excise duty on beer and energy decreased to EUR123 million in 2015 from EUR188 million in 2014, and are expected to fall again to EUR70 million in 2016. As part of a spending review in 2015,

Valle d'Aosta matched expenses to available revenues without affecting its fiscal flexibility.

Valle d'Aosta has greater financial flexibility than its national peers as it has scope to increase personal income tax, corporate tax, and hydroelectricity license revenues. The region also has some room for maneuver on the spending side if necessary.

The healthcare sector, a key regional responsibility, accounts for 20% of VA's total expenditure. Healthcare spending was reduced to EUR250 million in 2015 from EUR278 million in 2013 and we expect another reduction to EUR233 million in 2016. The healthcare sector's budget is balanced thanks to the substantial level of resources provided by the region. Going forward we expect the region to maintain its traditionally high standard of public services.

STRONG LOCAL ECONOMY, DESPITE MODEST SIZE AND DIVERSIFICATION

Valle d'Aosta is a small region in northwestern Italy with GDP per capita equivalent to 144% of the national average, the second-highest of any Italian region. Valle d'Aosta's GDP contracted by 2.5% in 2007-14 as a result of the financial crisis, well below the 9% decline in national GDP over the same period. In 2015, the region's GDP amounted to about EUR4.8 billion, most of it generated by the service sector. Tourism, a major revenue source for the region, has been hit by a decline in the number of international visitors, partly offset by an increase in domestic tourism. Since Valle d'Aosta is a small region, its GDP is materially affected by the financial results of its major companies. The beverage sector generates about the 8% of the region's operating revenues in the form of beer excise duty and corporate taxes. For 2016 we expect a slight rebound in regional economic indicators, mirroring the national average.

SLIGHTLY RISING INDIRECT DEBT. TOTAL DEBT LEVEL STILL MODERATE

Valle d'Aosta's net direct and indirect debt is moderate compared to peers at 26.4% of operating revenues on average between 2011-15. At YE2015, the region's direct debt was equivalent to 15.7% of operating revenues and consisted of an EMTN bullet bond of EUR165 million, net of the related sinking fund, and an amortizing bond of EUR41 million. Since 2013, Valle d'Aosta's debts have been managed by Finaosta S.p.A. (not rated), an arms-length financial institution fully owned by the region which operates as a holding company for the region's subsidiaries, and is responsible for developing the local economy. The increase in Valle d'Aosa's indirect debt is largely due to increased borrowing by Finaosta S.p.A. In 2015, the company accounted for almost all of the region's indirect debt of EUR125 million. We expect Finaosta S.p.A.'s debt to increase in 2016 by a maximum of EUR40 million, taking the total to EUR160 million. This would moderately increase Valle d'Aosta's net direct and indirect debt to 28.4% of latest reported operating revenues. We expect NDID to fall subsequently, based on regional forecasts. Although the region's indirect debt has increased, its total debt is still very manageable, with interest payments amounting to just 1.4% of operating revenues.

FALLING CAPEX CONSTRAINS ECONOMIC GROWTH

Valle d'Aosta's fiscal consolidation efforts, coupled with a decrease in important revenue sources, reduced the region's capex by 74% during 2011-15, weighing on the local economy. Capex accounted for 9% of total expenditure in 2015, down from 28% in 2011, and we expect it to fall again in 2016-17 to just 6% of total expenditure. The economy of Valle d'Aosta is mainly service-based, and we consider current low investment levels as a challenge to GDP growth in the long-term.

Extraordinary Support Considerations

Moody's assigns a moderate probability of extraordinary support from the central government, reflecting the region's long-established special autonomous status.

Output of the Baseline Credit Assessment Scorecard

In the case of Valle d'Aosta, the BCA matrix generates an estimated BCA of baa2, 1 notch lower compared to the BCA assigned by the rating committee.

The matrix-generated BCA of baa2 reflects (1) an idiosyncratic risk score of 2 (presented below) on a 1 to 9 scale, where 1 is the strongest relative credit quality and 9 the weakest; and (2) a systemic risk score of Baa2, as reflected in the sovereign bond rating (Baa2 stable).

The idiosyncratic risk scorecard and BCA matrix, which generate estimated baseline credit assessments from a set of qualitative and quantitative credit metrics, are tools used by the rating committee in assessing regional

and local government credit quality. The credit metrics captured by these tools provide a good statistical gauge of stand-alone credit strength and, in general, higher ratings can be expected among issuers with the highest scorecard-estimated BCAs. Nevertheless, the scorecard-estimated BCAs do not substitute for rating committee judgments regarding individual baseline credit assessments, nor is the scorecard a matrix for automatically assigning or changing these assessments. Scorecard results have limitations in that they are backward-looking, using historical data, while the assessments are forward-looking opinions of credit strength. Concomitantly, the limited number of variables included in these tools cannot fully capture the breadth and depth of our credit analysis.

ABOUT MOODY'S SUB-SOVEREIGN RATINGS

National and Global Scale Ratings

Moody's National Scale Ratings (NSRs) are intended as relative measures of creditworthiness among debt issues and issuers within a country, enabling market participants to better differentiate relative risks. NSRs differ from Moody's global scale ratings in that they are not globally comparable with the full universe of Moody's rated entities, but only with NSRs for other rated debt issues and issuers within the same country. NSRs are designated by a ".nn" country modifier signifying the relevant country, as in ".za" for South Africa. For further information on Moody's approach to national scale ratings, please refer to Moody's Rating Implementation Guidance published in June 2014 entitled "Mapping Moody's National Scale Ratings to Global Scale Ratings."

The Moody's Global Scale rating for issuers and issues allows investors to compare the issuer's/issue's creditworthiness to all others in the world, rather than merely in one country. It incorporates all risks relating to that country, including the potential volatility of the national economy.

Baseline Credit Assessment

Baseline credit assessments (BCAs) are opinions of entity's standalone intrinsic strength, absent any extraordinary support from a government. Contractual relationships and any expected ongoing annual subsidies from the government are incorporated in BCAs and, therefore, are considered intrinsic to an issuer's standalone financial strength.

BCAs are expressed on a lower-case alpha-numeric scale that corresponds to the alpha-numeric ratings of the global long-term rating scale.

Extraordinary Support

Extraordinary support is defined as action taken by a supporting government to prevent a default by a regional or local government (RLG) and could take different forms, ranging from a formal guarantee to direct cash infusions to facilitating negotiations with lenders to enhance access to needed financing. Extraordinary support is described as either low (0% - 30%), moderate (31% - 50%), strong (51% - 70%), high (71% - 90%) or very high (91% - 100%).

Rating Factors

Valle d'Aosta, Autonomous Region of

| Baseline Credit Assessment | Score | Value | Sub-factor Weighting | Sub-factor Total | Factor Weighting | Total |
|--|-------|--------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Scorecard | | | | | | |
| Factor 1: Economic Fundamentals | | | | | | |
| Economic strength | 1 | 143.93 | 70% | 0.7 | 20% | 0.14 |
| Economic volatility | | | 30% | 1 | | |
| Factor 2: Institutional Framework | | | | | | |
| Legislative background | | | 50% | 0 | 20% | 0.00 |
| Financial flexibility | | | 50% | | | |
| Factor 3: Financial Performance and Debt Profile | | | | | | |
| Gross operating balance / operating | 1 | 12.05 | 12.5% | 1 | 30% | 0.30 |

| revenues (%) | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|---|-----|---------|
| Interest payments / operating revenues (%) | 3 | 1.38 | 12.5% | | | |
| Liquidity | 0 | | 25% | | | |
| Net direct and indirect debt / operating revenues (%) | 1 | 28.00 | 25% | | | |
| Short-term direct debt / total direct debt (%) | 1 | 0.00 | 25% | | | |
| Factor 4: Governance and Management - MAX | | | | | | |
| Risk controls and financial management | | | | 1 | 30% | 0.30 |
| Investment and debt management | 0 | | | | | |
| Transparency and disclosure | 1 | | | | | |
| Idiosyncratic Risk Assessment | | | | | | 0.74(1) |
| Systemic Risk Assessment | | | | | | Baa2 |
| Suggested BCA | | | | | | baa2 |

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on http://www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL. WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE

REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of

MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

Moody's Public Sector Europe is the trading name of Moody's Investors Service EMEA Limited, a company incorporated in England with registered number 8922701 that operates as part of the Moody's Investors Service division of the Moody's group of companies.